


[Journals](#)[Books](#)[Register](#)[Sign in](#)[☰ Outline](#)[Download PDF](#)[Download](#)[Export](#) [▼](#)[Advanced](#)

Outline

[Highlights](#)[Abstract](#)[Keywords](#)[Chemical compounds studied in this article](#)[1. Introduction](#)[2. Material and methods](#)[3. Results and discussion](#)[4. Conclusions](#)[Acknowledgements](#)[Acknowledgments](#)[References](#)[Show full outline](#) [▼](#)

Figures (2)




Tables (1)

[☐ Table 1](#)

Food Chemistry

Volume 241, 15 February 2018, Pages 150-153



Short communication

Beaming steviol glycoside analysis into the next dimension

Benno F. Zimmermann  

 **Show more**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.08.079>

[Get rights and content](#)

Highlights

- First ultra-high performance [liquid chromatography](#) application separating nine [steviol glycosides](#).
- Nine [UHPLC](#) columns tested.
- Three columns yield resolution of 2 or greater for [stevioside](#) and [rebaudioside A](#).
- Sufficient retention of rebaudioside D on two columns.
- Total run time 16 min.

Abstract

Nine state-of-the-art reversed phase (RP) columns for ultra-high performance liquid chromatography were tested for the separation of steviol glycosides. The main criteria were resolution of the critical peak pair rebaudioside A and stevioside and the retention time of rebaudioside D. Three columns yielded a resolution of 2 or more of the critical peak pair and two of them showed sufficient retention of rebaudioside D, namely 1.62 and 1.84 min corresponding to retention factors of 0.98 and 1.24. The separation of nine steviol glycosides was possible in 11 min with UV and MS compatible, buffer-free eluents at moderate temperature. The presented method is proposed to be adopted as a new official method.

Keywords

Rebaudioside A; Rebaudioside D; Steviol glycosides; Stevioside; Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography

Chemical compounds studied in this article

Rebaudioside A (PubChem CID: 6918840); Rebaudioside C (PubChem CID: 60208888); Rebaudioside D (PubChem CID: 71773169); Rebaudioside F (PubChem CID: 72941817); Stevioside (PubChem CID: 442089); Steviolbioside (PubChem CID: 9809601); Dulcoside A (PubChem CID: 72941582); Rubusoside (PubChem CID: 24721373)

1. Introduction

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is the technique of choice for the analysis of [steviol glycosides](#) although the separation of the two main steviol glycosides [stevioside](#) and [rebaudioside A](#) is not easy to achieve on reversed phase (RP) columns.

The most recent official method, published by JECFA, proposed a C18 column with the dimensions 4.6 mm × 250 mm and 5 µm particle size for the analysis of nine steviol glycosides ([Joint FAO WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 2010](#)). Some scientific articles present improved variations of this method with the same column dimensions and particle size ([Chaturvedula & Zamora, 2014](#); [Tada et al., 2013](#)). Even recent publications use columns of such dimensions, which leads to poor resolution ([Soufi et al., 2016](#)) or co-elution ([Molina-Calle, Sánchez de Medina, Delgado de la Torre, Priego-Capote, & Luque de Castro, 2016](#)) of rebaudioside A and stevioside. Smaller columns (e.g., 2.1 mm × 150 mm) with smaller particles (<2 µm) are generally more efficient due to higher peak capacity. This recent development in HPLC is called ultra high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) and had its breakthrough at the Pittcon exhibitions 2003 (presentation of the first commercial sub-2 µm particle column by Agilent) and 2004 (presentation of a HPLC apparatus with a pressure capacity of 1000 bar called Acquity UPLC by Waters) ([Majors, 2015](#)). In the meantime, a wide variety of columns with sub-2 µm particles is available.

Nevertheless, only a few articles were published dealing with the analysis of steviol glycosides on UHPLC columns with varying success. [Gardana, Scaglianti, and Simonetti \(2010\)](#) used a HSS C18 column (Waters; 2.1 mm × 150 mm, 1.8 µm) running a very fast separation gradient of less than 3 min at 80 °C column temperature, although the manufacturer of the column guarantees column stability only up to 45 °C. Several steviol glycosides were not separated and therefore not quantifiable by UV but by MS on different *m/z* traces. Rebaudioside D was not mentioned. The authors stated that the HSS C18 column showed the best performance among other HPLC and UHPLC columns

tested in this article.

[Cabooter, Ruis, Jooken, Meesschaert, and Desmet \(2010\)](#) tested BEH C18 columns (Waters) in varying length by coupling up to four 100 mm columns (2.1 mm diameter, 1.7 μm). Among these trials, the 200 mm option gave the best result, i.e., a sufficient resolution and a reasonable separation time, using gradient elution. Steviol glycosides [eluted](#) between 26 (rebaudioside A) and 31 min (steviolbioside). Rebaudioside D is not mentioned.

[Espinoza et al. \(2014\)](#) employed a HSS C18 column (Waters; 2.1 mm \times 150 mm, 1.8 μm) and a 12 min-gradient, in order to detect enzymatically modified steviol glycosides. It was not the scope of the study to analyze the native steviol glycosides and in fact, their separation was incomplete.

[Wang et al. \(2015\)](#) used a HSS-T3 column (Waters; 2.1 mm \times 100 mm, 1.8 μm) with a gradient of 8.5 min. BEH C18 and BEH Shield columns (same dimensions; all manufactured by Waters) were also tested. Only five steviol glycosides were considered: rebaudiosides A and D, stevioside, dulcoside A and steviolbioside.

In cooperation with the group of Prof. Morlock, I used a CSH C18 column (Waters, 2.1 mm \times 150 mm, 1.7 μm) applying gradient elution ([Morlock, Meyer, Zimmermann, & Roussel, 2014](#)). Within 20 min the same nine steviol glycosides as those mentioned in the JECFA method were separated. This kind of column is included in the present article and was re-tested with isocratic elution.

The aim of this investigation was to develop a fast UHPLC method on a RP column able to separate the nine steviol glycosides mentioned in the JECFA document. The eluents should be UV and MS compatible, so that the same method can be used for either detector unlike the JECFA method that uses a [phosphate buffer](#). Other conditions should be as simple as possible, i.e., avoiding complex eluent mixtures and high column temperatures.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Chemicals and samples

Gradient grade (for sample dissolution) and MS grade acetonitrile (ACN) (for the eluent) and MS grade water were purchased from Th. Geyer (Renningen, Germany).

A [steviol glycoside](#) mix originating from proficiency testing of the International Stevia Council (Brussels, Belgium) realized by LGC Standards (Bury, Lancashire, United Kingdom) was used for method development. The sample contains the [rebaudiosides A, C, D, F, stevioside](#), steviolbioside, dulcoside A and rubusoside. The total steviol glycoside content in dry matter was reported as 87 g/100 g with stevioside (52 g/100 g) and rebaudioside A (22 g/100 g) as the main components. 25 mg of that sample were dissolved in 25 ml ACN + water (1 + 1). Additionally, a steviol glycoside standard mix

(LGC Standards) with the same eight steviol glycosides, plus rebaudioside B, with a concentration of 50 mg/l of each compound was analyzed. Peak resolution was calculated as difference of **retention times** divided by the sum of peak widths at half-height multiplied by 1.18.

2.2. UHPLC-UV-MS

The apparatus was the same as in a previous work ([Feuereisen et al., 2014](#)). Injection volume was 2 μ l. The column was kept at 40 °C. Detection wavelength was 200 nm. The UV chromatograms were used to calculate peak separation. In addition, MS was used to ensure peak identity: MS in **selected ion monitoring** (SIM) mode after negative **ionization** was used to confirm peak identity applying the same MS conditions as in a previous study ([Zimmermann, 2011](#)). **Isocratic** elution with 28–36% ACN in water in steps of 2% was applied to all columns at a flow of 0.35 ml/min. When a promising resolution of stevioside and rebaudioside A was achieved, further **eluent** compositions around the composition with the best resolution were tested in steps of 0.5%. The tested columns are listed in [Table 1](#).

Table 1. Details of the columns according to the manufactures.

Manufacturer	Macherey + Nagel		Phenomenex		Waters				
Location	Düren, Germany		Torrance, CA, USA		Milford, MA, USA				
Column	Nucleodur Polartec	Nucleodur C18 Pyramid	Kinetex EVO C18	Luna Omega C18	Cortecs C18+	CSH C18	HSS-T3	Cortecs-T3	BEH Shield RP18
Length, mm					150				
Diameter, mm					2.1				
Particle Size, μ m	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.7
Particle Kind	Fully porous	Fully porous	Solid core	Fully porous	Solid core	Fully porous	Fully porous	Solid core	Fully porous
Pressure Limit, bar	900	900	1000	1000	1241	1000	1000	1241	1000

3. Results and discussion

The basic conditions were chosen in order to enable UV detection at 200 nm, which is necessary for **steviol glycosides**, and MS detection. Therefore, formic acid and formate buffers were excluded and the common **eluent** mix of ACN and water was chosen.

Further, manual workload and costs could be minimized by:


1. buffer-free eluents: mixing of buffers and pH adjustment is time-consuming; larger rinse volumes are required; the [ion source](#) of a MS detector has to be cleaned more often;
2. moderate column temperature, i.e., 40 °C: higher column temperatures decrease column lifetime and require longer equilibration times.

These conditions limited the parameters to be optimized to column choice and ACN/water ratio. Among the sub-2 µm RP18 columns available, those columns were selected that are similar to the [HPLC](#) column of the JECFA method ([Joint FAO WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 2010](#)), e.g., Luna Omega, HSS-T3, or that have particular modifications, e.g., Nucleodur Polartec and Pyramid, CSH C18 or BEH Shield RP18.

The aim of a baseline separation of nine steviol glycosides was achieved with three of the tested columns even under the self-given restrictions mentioned above. The other columns might be useful under varied conditions like different eluent composition (e.g., mixed with methanol) or modifiers (e.g., acids or buffers). But it was not the aim of this study to find the optimal conditions for each column.

The main criterion was resolution between [stevioside](#) and [rebaudioside A](#). They are the main steviol glycosides in the stevia leaves and the major components of most sweeteners. Secondly, the [retention time](#) (RT) of rebaudioside D was considered. This compound should not be eluted too early, in order to avoid possible interferences with weakly retained matrix components. On all columns, resolution between stevioside and rebaudioside A tended to be higher, when the percentage of ACN increased. This led to shorter RT of rebaudioside D. The trade-off is to choose the lowest possible ACN percentage allowing an acceptable resolution between stevioside and rebaudioside A, in order to increase RT of rebaudioside D.

Unfortunately, a [gradient elution](#) could not resolve this dilemma (data not shown). Thus, [Fig. 1](#) showing resolution between rebaudioside A and stevioside and RT of rebaudioside D summarizes the performances of the various columns. RT can be compared directly instead of comparing [retention factors](#), because the dead time is the same for all columns (0.82 min) due to the identical dimensions and flow. Moreover, a retention factor >1 is recommended to indicate a sufficient retention on the column.



[Download high-res image \(341KB\)](#)

[Download full-size image](#)


Fig. 1. Retention time (min) of rebaudioside D (filled squares ■) and resolution (dimensionless) between stevioside and rebaudioside A (open diamonds ◇) of the various columns at varying ACN percentages in water. NB: the scale of the Y-axis in the panel of HSS-T3 (bottom, left) and the scale of the X-axis in the panel of CSH C18 (center, right) is different from the others.

A resolution between rebaudioside A and stevioside greater than 2 was achieved on three columns: Luna Omega, Cortecs C18+ and HSS-T3. Among them, the Luna Omega column yielded a resolution of 2.13 at 32% ACN, which is the highest resolution in this study. The resolution was relatively robust, i.e., in the range of 31–34% ACN the resolution was always above 2. RT of rebaudioside D was 1.62 to 1.28 min corresponding to retention factors of 0.98 and 0.56, respectively. In order to increase retention of rebaudioside D, 30% acetonitrile was used as eluent leading to a rebaudioside A/stevioside resolution of 1.90 and a RT of rebaudioside D of 1.81 min corresponding to a retention factor of 1.21. Back-pressure was between 710 and 720 bar at 34 and 30% acetonitrile, respectively.

The HSS-T3 column barely reached a resolution of 2 between the critical peak pair at 31.5% ACN. Under these conditions, rebaudioside D had a RT of 1.84 min equivalent to a retention factor of 1.24 and a back-pressure of 690 bar. Interestingly, the Cortecs-T3 column is the solid-core version of the HSS-T3, but had a different behavior. In fact, the manufacturer stated upon request that not only the structure of the particles but also the chemistries of the HSS-T3 and the Cortecs-T3 columns are slightly different. Also the Cortecs C18+ column reached the resolution of 2.0 at 32% ACN, but RT of rebaudioside D was only 1.21 min.

Elution on the Luna Omega column was further optimized by applying increasing ACN percentage after the elution of stevioside, in order to accelerate elution of the following compounds, and a rinse step with 100% ACN was introduced. The resulting gradient was: 0–7 min: 30% ACN; 10 min 42% ACN; 11–13 min: 100% ACN; 14–16 min: 30% ACN.

Fig. 2 shows the chromatograms of the steviol glycoside mixtures on the Luna Omega. Separation of the other steviol glycosides is also satisfactory. The nine steviol glycosides were separated in 11 min, total run time was 16 min.



[Download high-res image \(197KB\)](#)

[Download full-size image](#)

Fig. 2. [Chromatograms](#) of a [steviol glycosides](#) standard mix (above) and the steviol glycosides proficiency test mix (below) on the Luna Omega column using the optimized gradient.

4. Conclusions

The separation of nine [steviol glycosides](#) was enhanced using state-of-the-art [UHPLC](#) columns with a suitable selectivity as the Luna Omega (Phenomenex) or the HSS-T3 (Waters). A similar column, i.e., Luna C18(2) (Phenomenex) with HPLC dimensions (4.6 mm x 250 mm and 5 μ m particle size), was recommended in the last JECFA monograph about steviol glycosides ([Joint FAO WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 2010](#)) resulting in 25 min separation time. The faster UHPLC method described here (11 min separation time) unifies low solvent and time consumption, versatility, efficiency and simplicity. The [eluent](#)s are compatible with UV detection at low wavelength and MS detection, so that every laboratory can choose the most suitable detector for their samples, i.e., UV as a low-cost and robust detector or MS, when higher sensitivity is needed. This method is proposed to be subjected to a multi-laboratory validation in order to prove its suitability as a new official method.

Acknowledgements

The columns tested were given free of charge or at reduced prices by the manufactures.

Acknowledgments

Conflict of interest statement

The author states that there is no conflict of interest.

[Recommended articles](#) [Citing articles \(0\)](#)

References

- [Cabooter et al., 2010](#) D. Cabooter, A. Ruis, E. Jooken, B. Meesschaert, G. Desmet
Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography for the analysis of steviol glycosides
J.M.C. Geuns (Ed.), Proceedings of the 4th Stevia symposium, Euprint (2010), pp. 83-100
- [Chaturvedula and Zamora, 2014](#) V. Chaturvedula, J. Zamora
Reversed-phase HPLC analysis of steviol glycosides isolated from Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni
Food and Nutrition Sciences, 5 (2014), pp. 1711-1716, [10.4236/fns.2014.517184](https://doi.org/10.4236/fns.2014.517184)
- [Espinoza et al., 2014](#) M.I. Espinoza, J.-P. Vincken, M. Sanders, C. Castro, M. Stieger, E. Agosin
Identification, quantification, and sensory characterization of steviol glycosides from differently processed Stevia rebaudiana commercial extracts
Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 62 (49) (2014), pp. 11797-11804, [10.1021/jf502878k](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf502878k)
- [Feuereisen et al., 2014](#) M.M. Feuereisen, J. Hoppe, B.F. Zimmermann, F. Weber, N. Schulze-Kaysers, A. Schieber
Characterization of phenolic compounds in Brazilian pepper (Schinus terebinthifolius Raddi) exocarp
Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 62 (26) (2014), pp. 6219-6226, [10.1021/jf500977d](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf500977d)
- [Gardana et al., 2010](#) C. Gardana, M. Scaglianti, P. Simonetti
Evaluation of steviol and its glycosides in Stevia rebaudiana leaves and commercial sweetener by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry
Journal of Chromatography A, 1217 (9) (2010), pp. 1463-1470, [10.1016/j.chroma.2009.12.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2009.12.036)
[Article](#) [PDF \(522KB\)](#)
- [Joint FAO WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 2010](#) Joint FAO WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 7. M. 2.
Steviol glycosides
FAO JECFA monographs: Compendium of food additive specifications, Vol. 10, FAO (2010), pp. 17-21
- [Majors, 2015](#) R.E. Majors
Historical developments in HPLC and UHPLC column technology: The past 25 years
LGCG, 33 (11) (2015), pp. 818-840

[Molina-Calle et al., 2016](#) M. Molina-Calle, V. Sánchez de Medina, M.P. Delgado de la Torre, F. Priego-Capote, M.D. Luque de Castro

Development and application of a quantitative method based on LC-QqQ MS/MS for determination of steviol glycosides in Stevia leaves

Talanta, 154 (C) (2016), pp. 263-269, [10.1016/j.talanta.2016.03.051](#)

[Article](#) [PDF \(1MB\)](#)

[Morlock et al., 2014](#) G.E. Morlock, S. Meyer, B.F. Zimmermann, J.-M. Roussel

High-performance thin-layer chromatography analysis of steviol glycosides in Stevia formulations and sugar-free food products, and benchmarking with (ultra) high-performance liquid chromatography

Journal of Chromatography A, 1350 (2014), pp. 102-111, [10.1016/j.chroma.2014.05.016](#)

[Article](#) [PDF \(2MB\)](#)

[Soufi et al., 2016](#) S. Soufi, G. D'Urso, C. Pizza, S. Rezgui, T. Bettaieb, P. Montoro

Steviol glycosides targeted analysis in leaves of Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni) from plants cultivated under chilling stress conditions

Food Chemistry, 190 (C) (2016), pp. 572-580, [10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.05.116](#)

[Article](#) [PDF \(1MB\)](#)

[Tada et al., 2013](#) A. Tada, K. Ishizuki, J. Iwamura, H. Mikami, Y. Hirao, I. Fujita, *et al.*

Improvement of the assay method for steviol glycosides in the JECFA specifications

American Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 4 (2013), pp. 190-196, [10.4236/ajac.2013.44024](#)

[Wang et al., 2015](#) Y.-H. Wang, B. Avula, W. Tang, M. Wang, M.A. Elsohly, I.A. Khan

Ultra-HPLC method for quality and adulterant assessment of steviol glycosides sweeteners – Stevia rebaudiana and stevia products

Food Additives & Contaminants. Part A, 32 (5) (2015), pp. 674-685, [10.1080/19440049.2015.1021863](#)

[Zimmermann, 2011](#) B.F. Zimmermann

Tandem mass spectrometric fragmentation patterns of known and new steviol glycosides with structure proposals

Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 25 (23) (2011), pp. 3657-3658, [10.1002/rcm.5277](#)

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Recommended articles

[Maillard reaction of oat \$\beta\$ -glucan and the rheological property of its amino acid/peptide conjugates](#)

Food Hydrocolloids, 2017

[Download PDF](#) [View details](#) 

[Effect of steviol, steviol glycosides and stevia extract on glucocorticoid receptor signaling in normal and c...](#)

Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology, 2017

[Download PDF](#) [View details](#) 

[CLASSIFICATION OF CARCASSES | Pig Carcass Classification](#)

Encyclopedia of Meat Sciences, 2014, pp. 316-320

About ScienceDirect Remote access

Shopping cart Contact and support

Terms and conditions Privacy policy

Cookies are used by this site. For more information, visit the [cookies page](#).

Copyright © 2017 Elsevier B.V. or its licensors or contributors.

ScienceDirect ® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.